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Note:
This guideline provides advice of a general nature. This statewide guideline has been prepared to promote and facilitate standardisation and consistency of practice, using a multidisciplinary approach. The guideline is based on a review of published evidence and expert opinion.

Information in this statewide guideline is current at the time of publication.

SA Health does not accept responsibility for the quality or accuracy of material on websites linked from this site and does not sponsor, approve or endorse materials on such links.

Health practitioners in the South Australian public health sector are expected to review specific details of each patient and professionally assess the applicability of the relevant guideline to that clinical situation.

If for good clinical reasons, a decision is made to depart from the guideline, the responsible clinician must document in the patient’s medical record, the decision made, by whom, and detailed reasons for the departure from the guideline.

This statewide guideline does not address all the elements of clinical practice and assumes that the individual clinicians are responsible for discussing care with consumers in an environment that is culturally appropriate and which enables respectful confidential discussion. This includes:

• The use of interpreter services where necessary,
• Advising consumers of their choice and ensuring informed consent is obtained,
• Providing care within scope of practice, meeting all legislative requirements and maintaining standards of professional conduct, and
• Documenting all care in accordance with mandatory and local requirements

Explanation of the aboriginal artwork:
The aboriginal artwork used symbolises the connection to country and the circle shape shows the strong relationships amongst families and the aboriginal culture. The horse shoe shape design shown in front of the generic statement symbolises a woman and those enclosing a smaller horse shoe shape depicts a pregnant women. The smaller horse shoe shape in this instance represents the unborn child. The artwork shown before the specific statements within the document symbolises a footprint and demonstrates the need to move forward together in union.

Australian Aboriginal Culture is the oldest living culture in the world yet Aboriginal people continue to experience the poorest health outcomes when compared to non-Aboriginal Australians. In South Australia, Aboriginal women are 2-5 times more likely to die in childbirth and their babies are 2-3 times more likely to be of low birth weight. The accumulative effects of stress, low socio economic status, exposure to violence, historical trauma, culturally unsafe and discriminatory health services and health systems are all major contributors to the disparities in Aboriginal maternal and birthing outcomes. Despite these unacceptable statistics the birth of an Aboriginal baby is a celebration of life and an important cultural event bringing family together in celebration, obligation and responsibility. The diversity between Aboriginal cultures, language and practices differ greatly and so it is imperative that perinatal services prepare to respectively manage Aboriginal protocol and provide a culturally positive health care experience for Aboriginal people to ensure the best maternal, neonatal and child health outcomes.

Purpose and Scope of PPG

This guideline provides clinicians with information on the indications, pre-requisites, associated risks and techniques for performing instrumental deliveries. It includes information on different vacuum extraction (ventouse) and forceps types and methods.
Table I: Associated risks for operative vaginal delivery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serious risks</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maternal</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 3rd and 4th degree tear | > ventouse: 1-4 in 100  
> forceps: 8-12 in 100  
> common |
| Extensive or significant vaginal / vulval tear | > ventouse: 1 in 10  
> forceps: 1 in 5  
> very common |
| **Fetal** |  |
| Subaponeurotic / subgaleal haemorrhage | > ventouse 1 in 300  
> forceps: 3-6 in 1,000  
> uncommon |
| *Intracranial haemorrhage / skull fracture | > 5-15 in 10,000  
> uncommon |
| *Facial nerve palsy, corneal abrasion | > < 1 in 1,000 to 1 in 10,000  
> rare |
| *Cervical spine injury | > < 1 in 1,000 to 1 in 10,000  
> rare  
> rotational instrumental delivery |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequently occurring risks</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maternal</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Shoulder dystocia  
Anticipate if delayed 2nd stage, fetal macrosomia | > 1-4 in 100  
> common |
| *Postpartum haemorrhage | > 1-4 in 10  
> very common |
| Vaginal tear / abrasion | > ≥ 1 in 10  
> very common  
> forceps more common |
| *Anal sphincter dysfunction / voiding dysfunction | > 1 in 100  
> common  
> more common in forceps delivery from an OP position when compared with OA position |
| **Fetal** |  |
| Forceps marks on face | > ≥ 1 in 10  
> very common |
| Chignon / cup marking on the scalp | > practically all cases of ventouse delivery  
> ≥ 1 in 10  
> very common |
| Cephalhaematoma | > 1-12 in 100  
> common |
| Facial or scalp lacerations | > 1 in 10  
> common |
| Neonatal jaundice / hyperbilirubinaemia | > 5-15 in 100  
> common |
| Retinal haemorrhage | > 7-38 in 100  
> very common (ventouse delivery) |

* More common with instrumental delivery

Adapted from: Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. Consent Advice No. 11 July 2010
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**Summary of Practice Recommendations**

A detailed abdominal, vaginal and pelvic assessment should precede the decision for operative delivery.

Consider portable ultrasound to confirm position of the fetal back.

The risks of operative delivery must be weighed against the consequences of awaiting vaginal birth or alternatively performing a caesarean section with the head deep in the pelvis.

Ensure analgesia / anaesthesia is adequate for intended procedure.

The procedure should be abandoned if traction with obstetric instruments fails to produce descent despite adequate force (or after 3 ‘pulls’).

Obtain arterial and venous cord blood gases immediately after delivery (where facilities are available).
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Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cm</td>
<td>Centimetre(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.g.</td>
<td>For example</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>et al.</td>
<td>And others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kPa</td>
<td>Kilopascal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mm Hg</td>
<td>Millimetre(s) of mercury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RANZCOG</td>
<td>Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCOG</td>
<td>Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Definitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operative vaginal delivery</td>
<td>Emergency or elective assisted delivery using either vacuum extraction (ventouse) or forceps</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Background

In South Australia, 2010, operative vaginal delivery rates were:

- Ventouse 6.9 %
- Forceps 5.4 %²

There is a recognised place for forceps and all types of ventouse in clinical practice³

The choice of instrument will depend upon

- Operator skill
- Choice of instruments available
- Clinical circumstances

Vacuum extraction³

When compared with forceps:

- There is an increased incidence of cephalhaematoma, subgaleal and retinal haemorrhage in the newborn
- Less likely than forceps to result in successful vaginal delivery
- Less use of regional and general anaesthesia
- Less serious maternal injury
- Less pain 24 hours after delivery

Forceps³,⁴,⁵

When compared with vacuum extraction:

- Less likely to result in neonatal morbidity (e.g. cephalhaematoma, subgaleal and retinal haemorrhage)
- More likely to result in maternal soft tissue injury
- More likely to result in successful vaginal delivery and will occur over a shorter time frame
- Suitable for assisted vaginal deliveries < 36⁹⁰ of gestation
Indications for operative delivery

Maternal

> Inability to push due to
  > Maternal distress
  > Maternal exhaustion
> Undue delay in second stage
> Cardiopulmonary or vascular conditions
> Neurological or muscular disease
> Significant vaginal bleeding

Fetal

> Malposition with relative dystocia (e.g. occiput posterior or transverse)
> Suspected or anticipated fetal compromise

Contraindications for operative delivery

> Operator inexperience
> Incompletely dilated cervix
> Unknown fetal position
> Unengaged head
> Malpresentation e.g. brow or face presentation
> Suspected cephalopelvic disproportion (assess with abdominal and pelvic assessment)\(^6\)
> Ventouse delivery: Gestation < 36\(^{+0}\) weeks (risk of intracranial haemorrhage and cephalhaematoma)

Relative contraindications

> Baby has a predisposition to fracture (e.g. osteogenesis imperfecta)
> Baby diagnosed with or has a suspected bleeding disorder such as haemophilia or alloimmune thrombocytopenia
> Hepatitis B, C and HIV carry a risk of vertical transmission: use common sense measures and avoid operative vaginal delivery where possible

Decision for operative delivery

Individual assessment of the risks and benefits in each case is required (see below) as no indication is absolute\(^5\).

In nulliparous women, consider the use of oxytocin for prolonged second stage where the fetal head has not reached the pelvic floor before resorting to operative intervention. Vacuum extraction and forceps should not be used by persons who have not been adequately trained without senior obstetric supervision by persons fully competent to do so.

Prerequisites for operative delivery

A detailed abdominal, vaginal and pelvic assessment should precede the decision for operative delivery

> Head is ≤ 1/5 palpable per abdomen
> Vertex presentation
> Cervix is fully dilated and membranes are ruptured
> Exact position of the head and any asynclitism are known (so proper placement of the instrument can be achieved)
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- Pelvis considered to be adequate
- Clinical assessment has excluded cephalo-pelvic disproportion
- Consider portable ultrasound to confirm position of the fetal back

Maternal
- Give a clear explanation, obtain verbal informed consent and document in case notes
- Ensure analgesia / anaesthesia is adequate for intended procedure.
  - For mid-cavity rotational deliveries (ventouse or forceps) this will usually be a regional block
  - A pudendal block may be appropriate if no regional block is in place (particularly if urgent delivery is required)
- Empty maternal bladder (if indwelling catheter in place, remove or deflate balloon)

Clinical considerations
- Operator has the knowledge, experience and skills necessary for the intended procedure
- Adequate facilities and back-up personnel are available
- There is a back-up plan in case of failed ventouse / forceps
- Complications are anticipated (e.g. shoulder dystocia, postpartum haemorrhage)
- Appropriate personnel trained in neonatal resuscitation are present

Associated risks
The risks of operative delivery must be weighed against the consequences of awaiting vaginal birth or alternatively performing a caesarean section with the head deep in the pelvis.

In cases where there is an anticipated higher risk of failed operative delivery, the procedure should be considered a trial and be conducted in the operating theatre with recourse to caesarean section if unsuccessful.

Obtain consent for proceeding to caesarean section if unsuccessful.

Other procedures that may become necessary during operative delivery include:
  - Manual rotation before forceps or ventouse delivery
  - Episiotomy
  - Manoeuvres for should dystocia
  - Caesarean section
  - Repair of perineal tear

Clinicians should separate serious from frequently occurring risks (see Table 1). Higher rates of failure and serious or frequent complications are associated with:
  - Higher maternal body mass index
  - Ultrasound estimated fetal weight > 4,000 g or clinically large baby
  - Occipitoposterior position
  - Mid-cavity delivery or when 1/5 fetal palpable abdominally
Vacuum extraction

Types
Two types: synthetic or metal cups

Synthetic cups (soft or rigid)
> Hand held disposable rigid (Mityvac or Kiwi Omnicup) or conventional soft cup ventouse (silastic)
> Higher failure rate than metal cups
> Less neonatal scalp injuries than metal cups
> Suitable for straightforward deliveries (no significant caput)

Metal cups
> Preferred for delivery of occipito-posterior, transverse and difficult occipito-anterior positions³

Process
> Vacuum extraction may be undertaken using a rapid method of suction
> Use either mechanical or electrical suction
> Fetal injuries increase with the duration of the procedure
> Minimise shearing forces on the scalp to reduce the risk of subgaleal haemorrhage (ensure even placement of the cup across the sagittal suture)
> Position woman in dorsal lithotomy
> Insert cup
> If the fetal head is in the posterior position, ideally, place the centre of the cup over the flexion point which is situated on the sagittal suture about 3 cm in front of the posterior fontanelle. Aim to get the cup as far back as possible
> Check no maternal tissue is trapped beneath the cup
> Increase scalp suction pressure to around 440 mm Hg (60 kPa)
> In coordination with contractions and maternal expulsive effort, apply gentle traction in line with the pelvic axis (do not twist the cup)
> Maintain pressure and moderate traction between contractions (no effect on maternal or fetal outcome)
> Adequate descent should be verified during each pull
> If the cup dislodges, exclude fetal scalp or maternal injury before reapplying
> Obtain arterial and venous cord blood gases immediately after delivery (where facilities are available)
> Assess and repair any maternal trauma

Abandon the procedure if:
> There is no progress after 3 consecutive pulls
> There is evidence of fetal scalp injury
> The cup dislodges 3 times

Consider abandoning the procedure if:
> The cup dislodges 2 times despite good technical application and delivery is not imminent
> Delivery is not imminent after 15 minutes (evaluate whether to continue with operative vaginal delivery or consider recourse to caesarean section)
> Sequential use of ventouse and forceps to achieve delivery may result in increased maternal and neonatal morbidity. The decision to progress to forceps delivery should take into account the reason for ventouse failure and likely success of forceps. Where time permits (in the absence of maternal or fetal distress), and if available, request the presence of an experienced operator and consider transfer to theatre for delivery with early recourse to caesarean section if operative delivery is unsuccessful

* Refer to relevant hospital standard
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Instrumental delivery

Types

> Outlet to Low forceps:
  > Wrigley (outlet only)
  > Simpson, Neville-Barnes, Piper and Lauffe
> Midforceps rotational:
  > Kielland
> Rotational delivery with the Kielland forceps carries additional risks and requires specific expertise and training. Alternatives to Kielland forceps include manual rotation followed by direct traction forceps or rotational vacuum extractor.

Process

> Position woman in dorsal lithotomy
> Non-rotational forceps: The left blade is inserted on the left side in the maternal pelvis (the operator’s right hand displaces the posterior and lateral vaginal walls and guides placement of the blade)
> The right blade is inserted on the right side of the maternal pelvis (the left hand displaces the posterior and lateral vaginal wall and guides placement of the blade)
> Articulate and lock the blades together
> Confirm correct application:
  > The top of each blade is felt to be equidistant from the sagittal suture and the posterior fontanelle 1 cm above the plane of the shanks.
  > Fenestrations (if present) should admit no more than one fingertip.
> Gentle traction along the axis of the pelvis
> The operator’s free hand exerts vertical downward force whilst horizontal outward force is applied by the hand gripping the forceps handles
> Apply intermittent traction during uterine contractions with maternal expulsive effort (if feasible)
> As the head nears delivery, consider episiotomy (may not be required)
> Remove forceps in the opposite order to application once the head is nearly delivered (jaw can be reached)
> Obtain arterial and venous cord blood gases immediately after delivery (where facilities are available)
> Assess and repair any maternal trauma

Abandon the procedure if:

> Traction with obstetric instruments fails to produce descent despite adequate force
  > Refer to relevant hospital standard

Documentation

Document details of operative delivery in case notes, including:

> Indication including risks and benefits of operative delivery
> Informed verbal consent obtained
> Anaesthesia used
> Personnel present
> Instruments used
> Examination findings
> Procedure
> Time of start and end of the procedure
> Any complications
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